

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,501	03/20/2007	Eric Careel	INVTEL03001	2256
24498 Joseph J. Laks	7590 06/09/200	EXAMINER		
Thomson Lice	nsing LLC	KHAN, MEHMOOD B		
2 Independenc PO Box 5312	e Way, Patent Operatio	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PRINCETON,	NJ 08543	2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/552,501	CAREEL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MEHMOOD B. KHAN	2617		

MEHMOOD B. KHAN 2617 — The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In one went, however, may a reply be timely filled after SE(n) (MONTHIS from the maining date to the communication. - Falce to reply within the set or extended prior of for reply will be yatubled, cause the application to become ABANC/NED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office alter than there encounts after the maining date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any canned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 20 March 2007. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 □ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5 □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5 □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 3 □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 7 □ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The drawing(s) filed on is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority u	omoortonom cummary	Examiner	Art Unit	1				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time many be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly filed after SX (30) MCNITHS from the meaning date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by statute, cause the application to biscome ABAHDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the CDice laster than three months after the mating date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any examed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1)★ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 March 2007. 2a) This action is FiNAL. 2b)★ This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)★ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)★ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7)★ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are allowed. 6)★ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are allowed. 6)★ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are a pending in the application requirement. Application Papers 9)★ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)★ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)★ accepted or b)★ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)★ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)★ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)·(d) or (f). a)★ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und		MEHMOOD B. KHAN	2617					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of them may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a temple be limitely field If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. Failure forely whithin the set or ordended period for reply will by haled, cause the application to become ARAMONNED (36 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maining date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 20 March 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are neglected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Q All b) Some * O) None of: 1.								
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.75(a). In one-cent, however, may a repty be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to repty within the set or extended period for repty with the part of the provision of 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 March 2007. 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This action is non-final. 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	Period for Reply							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 March 2007. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)☑ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11□ The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☑ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DV. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3°CFR.11: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statulory period with the provision of the pr	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Status							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.55(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ma	arch 2007.						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	,=						
4) ∑ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ∑ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ∑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ∑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ∑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
4) ∑ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ∑ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ∑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ∑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ∑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	` <u> </u>							
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	- ··- · · ·							
6) ☐ Claim(s)is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·= ···							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		election requirement						
9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ciccion requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some or None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓO-152.				
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	⊢(d) or (f).					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior 	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)	Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Fromation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/DE) 4 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application					

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/20/2007.

6) Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andreason et al. (US 2003/0008612 herein Andreason) in view of Postma et al. (US 2002/0172336 herein Postma).

Claims 1 and 13, Andreason discloses a telecommunications method/system (0001), Andreason discloses using at least one first telecommunications device that is adapted for radio communication with a first public network according to a first radiocommunication protocol (0008, where Andreason discloses a mobile telephone and a mobile radio telephony network), Andreason discloses a method in which the first telecommunications device is made to communicate locally with at least a second telecommunications (0008, 0036, Fig. 1: S1, PSTN, where Andreason discloses a stationary telephony terminal, and a wireless communication link), the first and second telecommunications devices thus belonging to a local communication network (0008, 0036, where Andreason discloses a Bluetooth communication between devices), wherein the first telecommunications device is controlled from the second telecommunications device and an outgoing call of the local communication network is sent either to the first public network by means of the first telecommunications device, or to the second public network

Andreason does not disclose a second telecommunications device that is itself adapted for communicating with a second public network.

In an analogous art, Postma discloses a second telecommunications device that is itself adapted for communicating with a second public network (0105, Fig. 1: 200, 320, where Postma discloses a

Art Unit: 2617

base unit in communication with a separate network). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Andreason to include communication with a separate network as taught by Postma so as to avoid airtime usage (0105).

Claims 2 and 14, Andreason does not disclose in which a user is required to choose between the transmission of the outgoing call by the first public network and by the second public network.

In an analogous art, Postma discloses in which a user is required to choose between the transmission of the outgoing call by the first public network and by the second public network (0111, where Postma discloses overriding routing of calls). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Andreason to include automation of call selection as taught by Postma so as to provide ease of use to the user.

Claims 3 and 15, Andreason does not disclose in which an automatic choice is determined between the transmission of the outgoing call by the first public network and by the second public network.

In an analogous art, Postma discloses in which an automatic choice is determined between the transmission of the outgoing call by the first public network and by the second public network (0081, 0110, where Postma discloses calling based on the contact information and automating routing capabilities). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Andreason to include routing selection as taught by Postma so as to provide a more flexible choice for call selection.

Claims 4 and 16, Andreason does not disclose an outgoing call transmission is automatically chosen by the second network, except if the communication with the said second network is unavailable. In an analogous art, Postma disclose Andreason does not disclose an outgoing call transmission is automatically chosen by the second network, except if the communication with the said second network is unavailable (Fig. 16: 1302, 1306). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Andreason to include communication with a separate network as taught by Postma so as to avoid airtime usage (0105).

Claims 5 and 17, Andreason does not explicitly disclose a user is required to validate the automatic choice.

Postma discloses a user is required to validate the automatic choice (0111, where Postma discloses manual selection and overriding routing of calls). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Andreason to include automation of call selection as taught by Postma so as to provide ease of use to the user.

Claims 6 and 18, Andreason discloses the local communication network is a local radio network comprising a fixed base linked to at least one local network terminal communicating with the base according to a second radiocommunication protocol (0008, Fig. 1: S1, M3, where Andreason discloses a local terminal able to communicate with the base with Bluetooth), Andreason discloses the second telecommunications device is the base (Fig. 1: S1).

Andreason does not disclose a fixed base linked with the second public network.

In an analogous art, Postma discloses a fixed base linked with the second public network (Fig 1: 200, 320). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Andreason to include communication with a separate network as taught by Postma so as to avoid airtime usage (0105).

Art Unit: 2617

Claims 7 and 19, Andreason discloses the first telecommunications device is made to communicate with the second telecommunications device according to the said second radiocommunication protocol (0036, where Andreason discloses Bluetooth).

Claims 8 and 20, Andreason discloses in which the said radiocommunication protocol "BLUETOOTH" (0036, where Andreason discloses Bluetooth).

Claims 9 and 21, Andreason discloses the first public network is a cellular radiocommunication network (Fig.1: MTN1, where Andreason discloses a mobile radio telephony network).

Andreason does not disclose the second public network is a switched telephone network.

In an analogous art, Postma discloses the second public network is a switched telephone network (0105, Fig. 1: 320, where Postma discloses a telephone network). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Andreason to include communication with a separate network as taught by Postma so as to avoid airtime usage (0105).

Claim 10, Andreason does not explicitly disclose an identification stage during which it is determined whether the first telecommunications device is connected to the second telecommunications device, and a routing stage during which, when it has been determined that the first telecommunications device is connected to the second telecommunications device, an incoming call is routed to the first telecommunications device, when the said incoming call is normally intended to be routed to the local switched network by the second public network and when the said local communication network is unavailable to receive this incoming call.

Postma discloses an identification stage during which it is determined whether the first telecommunications device is connected to the second telecommunications device (Fig. 14: 1302),

Art Unit: 2617

Postma discloses a routing stage during which, when it has been determined that the first telecommunications device is connected to the second telecommunications device, an incoming call is routed to the first telecommunications device, when the said incoming call is normally intended to be routed to the local switched network by the second public network and when the said local communication network is unavailable to receive this incoming call (Fig. 14: 1304, 1308, 1310). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Andreason to include re-routing of incoming calls as taught by Postma so as to ensure completion of the call to the user.

Claim 11, Andreason does not disclose an identification stage during which it is determined whether the first telecommunications device is connected to the second telecommunications device (Fig. 14: 1302), Postma discloses a routing stage during which, when it has been determined that the first telecommunications device is connected to the second telecommunications device, an incoming call normally intended to set up a link with the first telecommunications device, is routed to the local communication network by means of the second public network (Fig. 14: 1306). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Andreason to include communication with a separate network as taught by Postma so as to avoid airtime usage (0105).

Claim 12, Andreason does not explicitly disclose at least the first telecommunications device comprises a telephone phonebook, and this telephone phonebook is made accessible by means of the second telecommunications device.

In an analogous art, at least the first telecommunications device comprises a telephone phonebook, and this telephone phonebook is made accessible by means of the second telecommunications Art Unit: 2617

device (0076, where Postma discloses transfer of updated contact information). Therefore, it would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify

Andreason to include contact information transfer as taught by Postma so as to migrate any changes in

information or new information (0078).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to MEHMOOD B. KHAN whose telephone number is (571)272-9277. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 am - 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by

telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on 571-272-7922.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-

8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mehmood B. Khan/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Lester Kincaid/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617